**REMARKS** 

Responsive to the Restriction Requirement dated December 21, 2004, Applicant elects

claims 1-8 and 36-42 (Group I) for examination. Applicant accordingly has canceled claims 9-35

(Group II).

Claims 1 and 2 are generic and claim 8 (Species 2-4) is the only species designated by the

Examiner. Applicant accordingly elects claim 8 for examination. Responsive to the Subspecies

Election Requirement, the Examiner did not indicate claim 4 was a subspecies. If that was

correct, Applicant elects claim 5 for examination along with claim 4. If that was incorrect,

Applicant elects claim 4 for examination. Claims 3, 6 and 7 have been withdrawn from

consideration.

Claims 36-42 (Species 3 and 4) include the species elected with the election of claim 8

for examination. Applicant accordingly elects claims 36-42 for examination based upon the

previous election of claim 8. Responsive to the Subspecies Election Requirement, the Examiner

did not indicate claim 39 was a subspecies. If that was correct, Applicant elects claim 40 for

examination along with claim 39. If that was incorrect, Applicant elects claim 39 for

examination. Claims 38, 41 and 42 have been withdrawn from consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTOPHER L. MAKAY

1634 Milam Building

115 East Travis Street

San Antonio, Texas 78205

(210) 472-3535

DATE: 6 January 2005

By:

Christopher L. Makay

Reg. No. 34,475

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT

4